Commissioner for Patents Amendment dated May 12, 2005 Response to Office Action dated January 12, 2005 Page 7 of 8 Serial No.: 10/027125 Art Unit: 2116 Examiner: Stoynov Docket No.: AUS9 2001 0614 US1

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-38 were presented, claims 1-8 were subjected to a restriction requirement, and claims 9-38 were examined. The Examiner rejected claims 9, 19, and 29 under 35 USC § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Barron et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,502,203), hereinafter "Barron", in view of Dunstan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,964,879), hereinafter "Dunstan". Claims 10-17, 20-27, and 30-37 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barron in view of Dunstan, and further in view of Wilhelm (U.S. Patent No. 5,500,561), hereinafter "Wilhelm". The Examiner indicated claims 18, 28, and 38 as reciting allowable subject matter. In this response, Applicant has amended claims 9, 19, and 29, canceled claims 1-8, 18, 28, and 38. Claims 9-17, 19-27, and 29-37 remain pending. Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for taking the time to discuss this application with the undersigned representative.

Claim rejections under 35 USC § 103(a)

The Examiner rejected claims 9, 19, and 29 under Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barron in view of Dunstan. In response, Applicant has amended claims 9, 19, and 29 to incorporate the limitations of originally presented and now canceled claims 18, 28, and 38 respectively. Consistent with the Examiner's appropriate determination that claims 18, 28, and 38 as originally presented recited allowable subject matter, Applicant submits that independent claims 9, 19, and 29 as amended recited allowable subject matter. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the rejections of independent claims 9, 19, and 29 as amended and all pendine claims depending thereon.

Commissioner for Potents
Amendment dated May 12, 2005
Response to Office Action dated January 12, 2005

Page 8 of 8

Seriai No.: 10/027125 Art Unit: 2116 Examiner: Stoynov Docket No.: AUS9 2001 0614 US1

CONCLUSION

In this response, Applicant has addressed the Examiner's claim rejections under 35 USC § 103(a). Accordingly, Applicant believes that this response constitutes a complete response to each of the issues raised in the office action. In light of the amendments made herein and the accompanying remarks, Applicant believes that the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant would request the Examiner to withdraw the rejections, allow the pending claims, and advance the application to issue. If the Examiner has any questions, comments, or suggestions, the undersigned attorney would welcome and encourage a telephone conference at 512.428.9872.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph P. Lally

Reg. No. 38,947 ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT(S)

LALLY & LALLY, L.L.P. P.O. Box 684749 Austin, Texas 78768-4749 512.428.9870 512.428.9871 (FAX)

JPL/mmm